

9500

SPONTANEOUS EMERGENCE OF LAW: CUSTOMARY LAW

Francesco Parisi
George Mason University School of Law
© Copyright 1999 Francesco Parisi

Abstract

In the 'social contract' framework, customary rules can be regarded as an implied and often non-verbalized exercise of direct legislation by the members of society. Those legal systems that grant direct legal force to customary rules regard custom as a primary, although not exclusive, source of law. In such legal traditions, courts enforce customary rules as if they had been enacted by the proper legislative authority. Custom thus amounts to a spontaneous norm which is recognized by the legal system and granted enforcement as a proper legal rule.

Judicial recognition of spontaneous norms amounts to a declaratory (rather than constitutive) function that treats custom as a legal fact. The legal system 'finds' the law by recognizing social norms, but does not 'create' the law. The most notable illustration is the system of international law, where, absent a central legislative authority, custom stands next to treaties as a primary source of law. (See, for example, Article 38 (1) of the *Statute of the International Court of Justice*; and Restatement 102 of the *Foreign Relations Law of the United States*.)

Whenever they are granted legitimate status in a legal system, customary rules are usually given the same effect as other primary sources of law. Although often subordinated to formal legislation, customary rules derive their force from the concurrence of a uniform practice and a subjective belief that adherence to them is obligatory (*opinio iuris*), without necessarily being formally incorporated into any written body of law. For this reason, they are usually classified as 'immaterial' sources of law (Brownlie, 1990). This notion implies that the custom remains the actual source of law even after its judicial recognition. In this setting, the judicial decisions that recognize a custom offer only persuasive evidence of its existence and do not themselves become sources of law. In turn, this prevents the principle of *stare decisis* from crystallizing customary law.

Modern legal systems generally recognize customary rules that have emerged either within the confines of positive legislation (*consuetudo secundum legem*) or in areas that are not disciplined by positive law (*consuetudo praeter legem*). Where custom is in direct conflict with legislation

(that is, *custom contra legem*) the latter normally prevails. In some instances, however, a custom supersedes prior legislation (that is, *abrogative custom*), and some arguments have been made in support of emerging practices that conflict with obsolete provisions of public international law (*desuetudo*, or abrogative practice) (Kontou, 1994). The theoretical and practical significance of these forms of spontaneous social order, which compete with enacted law in influencing human choice, are discussed below.

JEL classification: K00

Keywords: Customary Law, Spontaneous Law, Social Norms

1. Introduction

Legal theorists define custom as a practice that emerges outside of legal constraints, and which individuals and organizations spontaneously follow in the course of their interactions out of a sense of legal obligation. Two categories of social practices are generally distinguished:

- (a) Practices that reflect mere behavioural patterns. In legal jargon, such behaviour is a mere usage; in economic terms it simply represents an equilibrium convention. A mere behavioural regularity does not generate a customary rule.
- (b) Practices that reflect an internalized belief that the practice is necessary or socially desirable. These practices are considered necessary for social well-being and are treated as proper legal custom, often entering the legal system as primary sources of law.

The terminology used in the legal and economic literature should be contrasted with the terminology employed in sociological literature (see, for example, Weber, 1978, pp. 319-320). What is legally termed a mere usage is defined in sociological literature as a custom (*Sitte*), in the sense of a typically uniform activity that is not considered to be socially necessary. Convention - the sociological notion closest to the legal concept of custom - amounts to conduct manipulated by express approval or disapproval by other members of the group, but lacking the enforceability that characterizes a legal custom.

A. The Theory of Spontaneous Law

2. The Formative Elements of a Customary Rule

According to the theory of customary law, individual actors gradually come to embrace norms that they view as requisite to their collective wellbeing. An

enforceable custom emerges from two formative elements: (a) a quantitative element consisting of a general or emerging practice; and (b) a qualitative element reflected in the belief that the norm generates a desired social outcome.

2.1 *The Quantitative Element*

The quantitative requirements for the formation of customary law concern both the length of time and the universality of the emerging practice. Regarding the time element, there is generally no universally established minimum duration for the emergence of customary rules. Customary rules have evolved from both immemorial practice and a single act. Still, French jurisprudence has traditionally required the passage of forty years for the emergence of an international custom, while German doctrine generally requires thirty years (see Tunkin, 1961; Mateesco, 1947). Naturally, the longer the time required to form a valid practice, the less likely it is for custom to effectively anticipate the intervention of formal legislation, and to adapt to changing circumstances overtime.

Regarding the condition of universality, international legal theory is ambivalent. Charney (1986) suggests that the system of international relations is analogous to a world of individuals in the state of nature, dismissing the idea that unanimous consent by all participants is required before binding customary law is formed. Rather than universality, recent restatements of international law refer to 'consistency' and 'generality' (see D'Amato, 1971). Where it is impossible to identify a general practice because of fluctuations in behavior, the consistency requirement is not met (see *Asylum* (1950) pp. 276-277; and *Wimbledon* (1923), Ser. A, no. 1). Similarly, more recent cases in international law restate the universality requirement in terms of 'increasing and widespread acceptance' (see, for example, *Fisheries Jurisdiction* (1974), pp. 23-26; *North Sea Continental Shelf* (1969), p. 42), allowing special consideration for emerging general norms (or local clusters of spontaneous default rules) that are expected to become evolutionarily stable over time.

With regard to rules at the national or local level, the varying pace with which social norms are transformed suggests that no general time or consistency requirement can be established as an across-the-board condition for the validity of a custom. Some variance in individual observation of the practice should be expected because of the stochastic origin of social norms. A flexible time requirement is particularly necessary in situations of rapid flux, where exogenous changes are likely to affect the incentive structure of the underlying relationship.

2.2 *The Qualitative Element*

The second formative element of a customary rule is generally identified by the phrase *opinio iuris ac necessitatis*, which describes a widespread belief in the desirability of the norm and the general conviction that the practice represents an essential norm of social conduct. This element is often defined in terms of

necessary and obligatory convention. (Kelsen, 1939, 1945; D'Amato, 1971; Walden, 1977). The traditional formulation of *opinio iuris* is problematic because of its circularity. It is quite difficult to conceptualize that law can be born from a practice which is already believed to be required by law.

The practical significance of this requirement is that it narrows the range of enforceable customs: only those practices recognized as socially desirable or necessary will eventually ripen into enforceable customary law. Once there is a general consensus that members of a group ought to conform to a given rule of conduct, a legal custom can be said to have emerged when some level of spontaneous compliance with the rule is obtained. As a result, observable equilibria that are regarded by society as either undesirable (for example, a prisoner's dilemma uncooperative outcome) or unnecessary (for example, a common practice of greeting neighbours cordially) will lack the subjective and qualitative element of legal obligation and, therefore, will not generate enforceable legal rules.

B. The Emergence of Spontaneous Law

According to the traditional legal approach to customary law, two elements are generally required for the emergence of a binding custom: (1) the practice should emerge out of the spontaneous and uncoerced behaviour of various members of a group, and (2) the parties involved must subjectively believe in the obligatory or necessary nature of the emerging practice (*opinio iuris*). To an economist, the first element corresponds to the rather standard assumption of rational choice. The second element may be appraised as a belief of social obligation, emerging in response to game inefficiencies, to support behavioural rules that avoid aggregate losses from strategic behaviour.

The law and economics literature has examined some additional structural conditions for the emergence of customary law, which will briefly be analyzed below. (For a more extensive analysis, see Parisi, 1998b.)

3. Structural Symmetry and Incentive Alignment

The literature on social norms focuses on non-contractual mechanisms and considers the situations that are more easily governed by spontaneous law. Under symmetrical conditions, norms that maximize group welfare also maximize individual expected payoffs. Thus, no one has any reason to challenge the emerging norm. Paradoxically, therefore, there is no need for law or norm enforcement in an environment characterized by perfect incentive alignment, as contracts or relationships are self-enforcing (Klein, 1996).

In the presence of perfect incentive alignment, cooperation will result in both the case of repeated games in which the players are faced with high discount factors, and in one-shot games. It is worth noting that situations characterized by symmetric payoffs or role reversibility do not present an opportunity for strategic preference revelation. The expected costs and benefits of alternative rules are the same among the members of the group. Each individual has an incentive to agree to a set of rules that maximize the aggregate welfare of the group, consequently maximizing his expected share of wealth. True preferences will therefore be revealed in situations of stochastic symmetry. Conversely, strategic choices are more likely to characterize real life situations with misaligned individual incentives.

Additionally, in the absence of perfect incentive alignment, the discount factor plays an important role. In situations where the probability of future interaction is relatively high, the discount factor captures two analytically distinct elements. First, it acts as a function of the players' time preference. Second, the discount factor is a function of the probability of future interactions.

The discount factor's role in evolutionary models is therefore critical. Environments promoting a high probability of future interaction and low time preference are therefore more likely to induce optimizing equilibria. In the case of a one-shot game, on the other hand, the probability of future interaction is zero, so that the expected value of future cooperation is also zero (see, generally, Axelrod, 1984).

Another area of research in the customary law literature considers the role of morality and internalized obligations as a means for inducing cooperation in conflict games (see, for example, Gauthier, 1986; Ullmann-Margalit, 1977). Internalization of the norm is a source of spontaneous compliance. For example, individuals internalize obligations when they disapprove and sanction other individuals' deviations from the rule, or when they directly lose utility when the norm is violated. In this setting, Cooter (1994a) suggests that a legal custom will successfully evolve when the *ex ante* individual incentives are aligned with the collective public interest. Cooter (1994a, p. 224) calls this proposition the 'alignment theorem'.

4. Stochastic Symmetry and Role Reversibility

Traditionally, strategic preference revelation is viewed as a hindrance to the spontaneous emergence of cooperation. Such a problem is likely to be minimized in situations of role reversibility or stochastic symmetry (Parisi, 1995). Similar to a Rawlsian veil of ignorance, role reversibility and stochastic symmetry induce each member to agree to a set of rules that benefits the entire group, thus maximizing her expected share of the wealth.

These conditions in fact occurred during the formative period of the medieval law merchant (*lex mercatoria*), when traveling merchants acted in the dual capacity of buyer and seller. If they articulated a rule of law which was favourable to them as sellers, it could have the opposite effect when they acted as buyers, and vice-versa. This role reversibility changed an otherwise conflicting set of incentives (buyer versus seller) into one that converged toward symmetrical and mutually desirable rules.

The law merchant therefore illustrates a successful system of spontaneous and decentralized law (see Benson, 1989, 1990; Greif, 1989). Fuller (1969, p. 24) observes that frequent role changes foster the emergence of mutually recognized and accepted duties 'in a society of economic traders. By definition the members of such a society enter direct and voluntary relationships of exchange. ... Finally, economic traders frequently exchange roles, now selling, now buying. The duties that arise out of their exchanges are therefore reversible, not only in theory but in practice.'

Certainly, the emergence of consensus for a given rule does not exclude the possibility of subsequent opportunistic deviation by some individuals when roles are later reversed. This is a typical enforcement problem, however, and the possibility of strategic defection does not undermine the rule's qualitative features. The general acceptance of or acquiescence to a custom depends primarily on its anticipated effect on the group. Those strategies that maximize the expected payoff for each participant if reciprocally undertaken evolve into norms. This conception of spontaneous law is examined by Stearns (1994, pp. 1243-1244), who observes that if the participants were unable to devise rules governing future interactions, and unforeseen circumstances placed them in a forced market relationship requiring post-contractual negotiations, courts and legislatures might have a comparative advantage over the participants in devising market facilitating rules. Unlike market participants, courts and legislatures choose from among alternative solutions as if the underlying events had not yet occurred, without attempting to strategically maximize the advantage caused by unforeseen circumstances (see also Shubik, 1987). Where rules are breached following role reversal, norms play a collateral yet crucial role in sanctioning case-by-case opportunism.

Conditions of role reversibility, coupled with norms that generate disincentives to adopt opportunistic double standards, are therefore likely to generate optimal rules via spontaneous processes. The group's ability to impose a sanction obviously depends on an individual's accountability for his past behaviour. Benson (1992d, pp. 5-7) explores the role of reputation in situations of repeated market interaction, observing that reputation serves as a source of collective knowledge regarding past actions.

5. Induced Symmetry and Reciprocity Constraints

Prisoner's dilemma-type games are plagued by the dominance of opportunistic behaviour because of the potential accessibility of off-diagonal, non-cooperative outcomes. Schotter (1981), Lewis (1969) and Leibenstein (1982) analyze the role of conventions in correcting prisoner's dilemma situations.

Among the devices capable of correcting prisoner's dilemma-type games, the players can bind their strategic choices to those of their opponents, drastically changing the equilibrium of the game. Ensuring automatic reciprocity by binding a player's strategy to that of his opponent eliminates access to off-diagonal outcomes and renders the reward for unilateral defection unobtainable. Just as no rational player will employ defection strategies in the hope of obtaining higher payoffs from unilateral cheating, neither will a rational player be induced to select defection strategies as a merely defensive tactic. Automatic reciprocity mechanisms thus guarantee a shift toward optimizing cooperation. As pointed out by Parisi (1997), ancient customs of retaliation, based on conceptions of symmetry and punitive balance, provide an intriguing illustration of the principle of reciprocity at work (see, for example, Exodus 21:23; and Code of Hammurabi Paragraphs 108 and 127). Parisi (1998a) considers another example of a reciprocity constraint. Art. 21 (1)b of the 1969 Vienna Convention, which articulates an established custom of reciprocity, creates a mirror-image mechanism in the case of unilateral reservations in the process of treaty ratification: 'A reservation established with regard to another party ... modifies those provisions to the same extent for that other party in its relations with the reserving state.' The effects of this automatic reciprocity mechanism are similar to a tit-for-tat strategy without the need for active retaliation by states: whenever a treaty is modified unilaterally in favour of one state, the result will be as if all the other states had introduced an identical reservation against the reserving state. By imposing a symmetry constraint on the parties' choices, this rule offers a possible solution to prisoner's dilemma problems.

Parisi (1998a) further observes that, while the principle of reciprocity solves conflict situations characterized by a prisoner's dilemma structure (in both symmetric and asymmetric cases), alone it is incapable of correcting other strategic problems. When a conflict occurs along the diagonal possibilities of the game (such that the obtainable equilibria are already characterized by symmetric strategies), a reciprocity constraint will not eliminate the divergence of interests between the players and will not affect the results of the game. The dynamic process of norm formation may unveil the existence of a 'tilt point' beyond which emerging beliefs become stable and self-sustaining. In light of reciprocal constraints undertaken by other members of the community, individuals who frequently exchange roles in their social interactions have incentives to constrain their behaviour to conform to socially optimal norms of

conduct. Buchanan (1975) insightfully anticipated this result, suggesting that an even stronger logic explains the emergence of cooperation in situations of induced reciprocity. In both cases, the non-idealistic and self-interested behaviour of human actors will generate optimal norms.

6. Articulation Theories in the Formation of Customary Law

Notable scholars have considered the conditions under which principles of justice can emerge spontaneously through the voluntary interaction and exchange of individual members of a group. As in a contractarian setting, the reality of customary law formation relies on a voluntary process through which members of a community develop rules that govern their social interaction by voluntarily adhering to emerging behavioural standards. In this setting, Harsanyi (1955) suggests that optimal social norms are those that would emerge through the interaction of individual actors in a social setting with impersonal preferences. The impersonality requirement for individual preferences is satisfied if the decision makers have an equal chance of finding themselves in any one of the initial social positions and they rationally choose a set of rules to maximize their expected welfare. Rawls (1971) employs Harsanyi's model of stochastic ignorance in his theory of justice. However, the Rawlsian 'veil of ignorance' introduces an element of risk aversion in the choice between alternative states of the world, thus altering the outcome achievable under Harsanyi's original model, with a bias toward equal distribution (that is, with results that approximate the Nash criterion of social welfare). Further analysis of the spontaneous formation of norms and principles of morality can be found in Sen (1979), Ullmann-Margalit (1977) and Gauthier (1986).

Legal theorists and practitioners have addressed a similar issue when considering the requirements of *opinio iuris*. In attempting to solve one of the problems associated with the notion of *opinio iuris*, namely the troublesome problem of circularity, legal scholars (notably, D'Amato, 1971) have considered the crucial issue of timing of belief and action in the formation of customary rules. The traditional approach emphasizes the awkward notion that individuals must believe that a practice is already law before it can become law. This approach basically requires the existence of a mistake for the emergence of a custom: the belief that an undertaken practice was required by law, when instead, it was not. Obviously, this approach has its flaws. Placing such reliance on systematic mistakes, the theory fails to explain how customary rules can emerge and evolve overtime in cases where individuals have full knowledge of the state of the law.

In this context, legal theorists have proposed to look past the notions of *opinio iuris* and usage concentrating on the qualitative element of

'articulation'. Consistent with the predicament of the economic models, articulation theories suggest that greater weight should be given to beliefs that have been expressed prior to the emergence of a conflict.

Here, it is interesting to point out a strong similarity between the legal and the economic models. Articulations that are made prior to the unveiling of conflicting contingencies can be analogized to rules chosen under a Harsanyi veil of uncertainty. States and individuals will have an incentive to articulate and endorse norms that maximize their expected welfare. Given some degree of uncertainty as to the future course of events, the emerging rules will be such as to maximize the expected welfare of the community at large. Conversely, rules that are articulated after an outburst of conflict may be strategically biased. Once the future is disclosed to them, parties will tend to articulate rules that maximize their actual welfare, rather than the expected welfare to be derived from an uncertain future. Thus, *ex ante* norms should be given greater weight in the adjudication process.

This predicament seems to be contradicted by the empirical and anecdotal evidence on commercial customary law. Bernstein (1996) examines customary rules that have developed in various modern commercial trades. Her findings seem to indicate that in the adjudication of business disputes, commercial tribunals tend to enforce customary rules that are quite different from the business norms spontaneously followed by the parties in the course of their relationship. Rather, customary rules develop around practices developed during the conflictual phase of a relationship. In this setting, Bernstein distinguishes between relationship norms and end-of-the-game norms. When adjudicating a case, courts are faced with parties who have reached the end point in their relationship. The end-of-the-game norms of the conflictual phase thus tend to be enforced, while the cooperative norms developed in the course of their relationship remain outside the domain of adjudication.

C. The Failures of Customary Law

According to the popular paradigms of economic analysis, decentralized market processes have a comparative advantage over centralized allocative mechanisms in the creation of efficient equilibria. Customary law formation can be analogized to a decentralized decision making process, with a comparative advantage over centralized processes in the creation of efficient rules.

Customary rules are generally accepted by the community, with a larger share of rules followed spontaneously by the community and a consequent reduction in law enforcement costs. In the decentralized dynamic of spontaneous law, individual decision makers directly perceive the costs and benefits of alternative rules, and reveal their preferences by supporting or

opposing their formation. The formative process of customary law proceeds through a purely inductive accounting of subjective preferences. Through his own action, each individual contributes to the creation of law. The emerging rule thus embodies the aggregate effects of independent choices by various individuals that participate in its formation. This inductive process allows individuals to reveal their preferences through their own action, without the interface of third-party decision makers.

The analogy between customary rules and spontaneous market equilibria, however, calls for an assessment of the potential insufficiencies of the spontaneous processes of law formation. I will proceed by setting out some hypotheses for failure and discussing their potential scope of application in the area of customary law. The literature in this area is relatively thin and much work still needs to be done to develop a coherent theory of spontaneous law.

7. Path Dependence and the Idiosyncracies of Customary Law

Norms and conventions vary from place to place. Any theory about the efficiency of spontaneous law should explain the diversity of norms and conventions across time and space. In my view, there are two primary ways to provide such an explanation.

The first is to look for idiosyncratic environmental or institutional factors which might attribute to the diversity of observed rules. If the underlying social, economic, or historical realities are found to be different from one another, different norms or conventions should be expected. Rules, norms and conventions develop in response to exogenous shocks through a natural process of selection and evolution. This 'survival of the fittest' explanation would suggest that whatever exists in equilibrium is efficient, given the current state of affairs. This belief, borrowed from Darwinian evolutionism, is pervasive in the law and economics literature and, when applied to spontaneous law, risks becoming a tautological profession of faith. Ironically, we should note that the originators of such a claim, socio-biologists, have now widely refuted its validity.

The second way to reconcile the efficiency claim to the observed diversity of spontaneous rules is to consider the role of path dependence in the evolution of norms and conventions. Following Roe (1996) and his definition of path dependence in law, evolution toward efficiency may be seen as taking place with some random component. Random historical and natural events (the random element of chaos theory) determine the choice of the initial path. This may be the case particularly where initial choices are made under imperfect information. Evolution then continues toward efficiency along different paths, with results that are influenced and constrained by the initial random conditions.

If we agree that path dependence has something to do with the emergence and evolution of customary law, we should follow this logic to its conclusion, revisiting the very foundations of the efficiency claim. The main question is whether path dependence could ever lead to inefficient results. According to current research (Roe, 1996), path dependence may lead to inefficient equilibria. Once a community has developed its norms and conventions, the costs of changing them may outweigh the benefits. Less efficient rules may persist if the transition to more efficient alternatives is costly. Thus, if one allows for some randomness and path dependence, norms and conventions, although driven by an evolution-toward-efficiency dynamic, may stabilize around points of local, rather than global, maximization. Our history, in this sense, constrains our present choices. We may wish we had developed more efficient customs and institutions, but it would be foolish now to attempt to change them. The claim of efficiency of spontaneous law thus becomes a relative one *vis-à-vis* the other sources of law. The point then becomes that of weighing the relative advantages of spontaneous law-making against the attributes of engineered legislation, taking full account of the pervasive public choice and information problems underlying such alternatives.

8. Rational Abstention and Norm Manipulation

A public choice analysis of customary law should consider the vulnerability of norms and customs to the pressure of special interest groups. This line of analysis - relatively undeveloped in the current literature - should search for parallels between the legislative process and the dynamic of norm formation. In that setting, the opportunity for collective beliefs and customs to be manipulated by special interest groups should be analyzed. Any claim that customary sources are superior to proper legislation will have to rest on a solid understanding of the relative sensibility of each source to possible political failures.

The application of a well-known theorem of public choice to the study of customary law generates very interesting results. Unlike legislation in a representative democracy, customary law rests on the widespread consensus of all individuals affected by the rule. If principal-agent problems are likely to arise in a political world characterized by rational ignorance and rational abstention of voters, no such problems appear to affect customary sources. Individuals are bound by a customary rule only to the extent that they concurred - actively or through voluntary acquiescence - in the formation of the emerging practice.

Imperfect information, however, may induce voluntary acquiescence - or even active concurrence - to an undesirable practice. Economic models of cascade or bandwagon behaviour have shown how inferior paths can be

followed by individuals who rely on previous choices undertaken by other subjects, and value such observed choices as signals of revealed preference. Economic models have shown that, when information is incomplete, excessive weight can be attached to the signal generated by others. Others' choices may be followed even when the agent's own perception conflicts with the content of the observed signal. In this way, a biased or mistaken first-mover can generate a cascade of wrong decisions by all his followers, with a result that may prove relatively persistent under a wide array of conditions.

Cascade arguments may also unveil the relative fragility of spontaneous sources of law in light of the possible manipulation of collective beliefs through biased leadership. If information is imperfect, the input of politically biased first-movers may generate undesirable norms. These norms may persist because of the weight attached to the choices of our predecessors. Thus, once generated, wrong beliefs may become stable and widespread in any community of imperfect decision makers.

9. Collective Action Problems in Customary Legal Regimes

Another potential weakness of customary law is revealed by the application of a collective action framework to the study of the formation and enforcement of customary rules. We can start the analysis by observing that legal rules and law enforcement are public goods. In the case of customary rules, collective action problems may thus arise at two distinct stages: first, in the formative process of customary rules; and second, in the enforcement of the emerged customs.

The process of a custom formation relies on the spontaneous and widespread acceptance of a given rule by the members of a group. Individuals often face a private cost when complying with the precepts of the rule, and they generally derive a benefit because of the compliance of others with existing rules. Thus, the formation of customary law can be affected by a public good problem. When discussing the conditions under which customary rules can effectively develop, I illustrated the analysis with a game-theoretic framework. The public good problem considered here is in many respects similar to the strategic tension that we have examined in the context of customary law formation. If individuals face a private cost and generate a public benefit through norm creation, there will be a suboptimal amount of norms created through spontaneous processes. Any individual would like others to observe a higher level of norm compliance than he or she observed. The resulting level of norm compliance would thus be suboptimal. Collective action problems in the formation of customary rules have traditionally been corrected by norms which sanctioned opportunistic double standards, and by metarules imposing reciprocity constraints on the parties.

The issue has only marginally been addressed in the economic literature. The reader may look at Hirshleifer (1982) who discusses enforcement by the sanction of one time retaliation by defection in a prisoner's dilemma setting; and Witt (1986) who discusses enforcement by costly retaliation and other punishment not depending on repeat interactions.

More serious collective action problems emerge in the enforcement of spontaneous norms. If the enforcement of norms is left to the private initiative of individual members of the group, a large number of cases will be characterized by a suboptimal level of enforcement. Punishing violators of a norm creates a public good because of the special and general deterrent effect of the penalty. Yet if imposition of the penalty is left to private initiative, punishers would be willing to enforce norms only to the point at which the private marginal cost of enforcement equals its private marginal benefit. This equilibrium obviously diverges from the social optimum, where enforcement would be carried out until the marginal cost equals the social, rather than private, marginal benefit.

This consideration explains why the customs of ancient societies recognized and sanctioned only a limited category of wrongs. Generally speaking, only those wrongs that had a well-identified victim were likely to be addressed through a system of private law enforcement. For the system of private law enforcement to function properly, it was necessary for the victim or his clan to have a strong interest in carrying out the punishment. This also explains why other categories of wrong with a broader class of victims tend to emerge during more advanced stages of legal development, when law enforcement is delegated to a central authority.

In sum, collective action problems may be pervasive in the enforcement of customary rules, with a consequential risk that enforcement will be suboptimal. This conclusion suggests that the decentralized process of law formation may be successfully coupled with a centralized mechanism of law enforcement. In this way, the advantages that customary sources have in gathering diffuse information will be available, free from the collective action problems that typically affect decentralized processes of law enforcement.

10. Adjudicating Social Norms

According to the theory of spontaneous law, customary law has a comparative advantage over the other institutional sources. The intellectual basis of this claim is related to the proposition that any social arrangement that is voluntarily entered upon by rationally self-interested parties is beneficial to society as a whole.

The inductive process which underlies spontaneous law builds upon the role of individuals giving direct effect to their revealed preferences, without the

interface of third-party decision makers. To the extent that social practices have emerged under competitive conditions (that is, so long as there is an implicit cost for indulging in inefficient equilibria) without Pareto-relevant externalities, we may be able to draw plausible conclusions regarding the desirability of emerging customs. It is in this latter sense that custom may reclaim full dignity as a source of law. The evolutionary and game-theoretic appraisals of the law-making process have shed new light on the normative foundations of spontaneous law, but they require an appropriate analysis of the incentive structure in the originating social environment (Cooter, 1992).

Evolutionary theories of cooperation have indeed explained the ability of rationally self-interested individuals to cooperate for the sake of mutual gain. Evolutionarily stable cooperative strategies serve efficiency goals and may emerge as social norms recognized by the community to be obligatory. Once emerged, customary rules generate the expectations of the other members of society and those expectations in turn demand judicial enforcement. In some instances, peer pressure and spontaneous processes of norm internalization will support their enforcement.

The legal system may further this process by recognizing and enforcing welfare-maximizing social norms. In this regard, Cooter (1994a) argues that legal recognition and enforcement should consequently be denied in the case of non-cooperative practices, under a test that amounts to a structural analysis of the social incentives that generated the norm. He further argues that in the process of common law adjudication, a distinction must necessarily be made between cooperative norms and non-cooperative practices. Courts are not specialized in the adjudication of most norms. They must therefore resort to a structural approach, first inquiring into the incentives underlying the social structure that generated the norms, rather than attempting to weigh their costs and benefits directly.

11. Local Information and Evolutionary Traps

When the private incentives of the parties diverge from the collective good and the parties cannot enter into binding and enforceable social contracts, inefficient social interactions may follow. These situations may generate suboptimal Nash equilibria as the benefit pursued by each individual player is insufficient to compensate for the harm suffered by the other players. While at times benefitting a few members of the group, strategies of this kind may result in a net social loss for the collectivity. Generally considered undesirable, they may be condemned from the other members of the group. In this way, rules that are expected to harm the aggregate wellbeing of the community will not be supported by a belief of social necessity. By discouraging the adoption of


socially suboptimal strategies, the group ethic may serve to destabilize undesirable stalls in the evolutionary process. Therefore, those societies that foster a strong group ethic will maintain a comparative advantage over others. Whenever the societies operate in an intergroup environment marked by strong competition, competing societies will adopt the norms of societies with the comparatively strong group ethic, or else they will suffer negative selection.

In a different setting, one may also think of a group ethic evolving to correct for evolutionary stalls and to stabilize individual behaviour on a point of global maximization (Hirshleifer, 1982). Figure 1 illustrates a possible scenario for an evolutionary trap, where, even in the absence of any strategic interaction between individuals, global maximization is facilitated by the existence of norms.

Whenever the non-convexity of individual preferences does not permit a progressive shift from B to A without a utility loss, the point of local maximization B may be characterized as a behavioural trap. In these situations, individuals face a 'no pain, no gain' dilemma. Individuals are at a point of local

maximization and - because of imperfect knowledge or perhaps inertia in their consumption or behavioural habits - are unlikely to shift to a different optimizing point without external incentives. Imperfect information, in this context, implies that individuals may have complete information about where they are, but not necessarily about where they are going - such that the preferences that are revealed through the observed choices of the parties may not be used as an absolute proxy for individual optimization.

Figure 1 The Utility-Enhancing Norm



When this type of evolutionary stall persists in a group, social norms of acceptable behaviour may emerge (Levy, 1988). Those who depart from these norms may be subjected to sanctions (condemnation).

Individuals, perhaps even the large majority of individuals in society, may be trapped in behaviour B due to incomplete (ly known) preferences over never-experienced alternatives. It would then be utility enhancing for society (or other members within the group who have obtained information over the alternative states of the world) to influence the consumption choices of other individuals by stigmatization or punishment, in order to lead the individual out of his or her trap. Such stigmatization - one may observe: paternalistic in nature - may well evolve spontaneously in societies because it makes individuals and society as a whole better off. In an evolutionary setting, societies that developed such norms - be them social, ethical, or moral in nature - will enjoy a comparative advantage over other societies.

Despite the general economic motion which views constraints as 'bads' for optimization problems, Figure 1 thus shows the possibility of a utility-enhancing constraint capable of correcting a suboptimal equilibrium obtained in a point of local maximization along a non-convex preference set. Within a local optimization setup, moral constraints may supply information not otherwise revealed by the local surface. Together with social norms and group standards, moral constraints transmit the accumulated wisdom of past experience to individual decision makers. In this framework, norms of tradition, morality, and group ethics do not conflict in any general way with the economic paradigms of efficiency and optimization. Evolution assures that practices which are socially inferior (in the sense that they do not make a cost-justified contribution to human wellbeing) are less frequently adopted because they are labelled as immoral, socially inappropriate, or ethically wrong. Of course, evolutionary processes are never completed, and their task is only stochastically accomplished. Still, the strong correlation between activities and institutions that are efficient, and the community's moral approval of them, should not be underestimated. Many activities that are generally considered immoral (for example, stealing, cheating, lying, and so on) are also inefficient in that they dissipate human wealth. While counterexamples exist in which 'morally condemned' behaviour actually contributes to overall human welfare, social norms and moral principles of the type described above should be considered 'rules of thumb' principles of conduct for individuals who operate in a world of imperfect information and limited cognitive competence (see, Heiner, 1983; Frank, 1987; Parisi, 1995).

Bibliography on Spontaneous Emergence of Law: Customary Law (9500)

- Ackerman, Bruce A. (1986), 'Law, Economics and the Problem of Legal Culture', **66** *Duke Law Journal*, 929-947.
- Adelstein, Richard P. (1979), 'The Moral Costs of Crime: Prices, Information and Organization', in Gray, Charles M. (ed.), *The Costs of Crime*, Beverly Hills, CA, Sage Publications, 233-252.
- Adelstein, Richard P. (1981), 'Institutional Function and Evolution in the Criminal Process', **76** *Northwestern University Law Review*, 1-99.
- Adelstein, Richard P. and Peretz, Steven I. (1985), 'The Competition of Technologies in Markets for Ideas: Copyright and Fair Use in Evolutionary Perspective', **5** *International Review of Law and Economics*, 209-238.
- Alchian, Armen A. (1950), 'Uncertainty, Evolution and Economic Theory', **58** *Journal of Political Economy*, 211-221.
- Arthur, W. Brian (1988), 'Self-Reinforcing Mechanisms in Economics', in Anderson, Philip W. et al. (eds), *The Economy as an Evolving Complex System*, Reading, MA, Addison-Wesley.
- Axelrod, R. (1981), 'The Emergence of Cooperation Among Egoists', **75** *American Political Science Review*, 306-318.
- Axelrod, R. (1984), *The Evolution of Cooperation*, New York, Basic Books.
- Bailey, Martin J. and Rubin, Paul H. (1994), 'A Positive Theory of Legal Change', **14** *International Review of Law and Economics*, 467-477.
- Barnes, David W. and Stout, Lynn A. (1992), *Economics of Constitutional Law and Public Choice*, St. Paul's West Publishing.
- Barry, B.M. (1995), *Justice as Impartiality*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Bell, John W. (1977), 'Averting a Crisis in Product Liability: An Evolutionary Process of Socioeconomic Justice', **65** *Illinois Bar Journal*, 640-648.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1990), *The Enterprise of Law: Justice Without the State*, San Francisco, Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1988), 'Legal Evolution in Primitive Societies', **144** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 772-788.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1989a), 'The Spontaneous Evolution of Commercial Law', **55** *Southern Economic Journal*, 644-661, reprinted in Klein, Daniel B. (ed.), *Reputation: Studies in the Voluntary Enforcement of Good Behavior*, Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1989b), 'Enforcement of Private Property Rights in Primitive Societies: Law Without Government', **9** *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, 1-26.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1990), 'Customary Law With Private Means of Resolving Disputes and Dispensing Justice: A Description of a Modern System of Law and Order Without State Coercion', **9** *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, 25-42. Reprinted in 'Law and Order Without State Coercion', in Veljanovski, Cento (ed.) (1991), *Regulation, Regulators and the Market*, London, Institute of Economic Affairs, 159-179.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1991a), 'An Evolutionary Contractarian View of Primitive Law: The Institutions and Incentives Arising Under Customary American Indian Law', **5** *Review of Austrian Economics*, 65-89.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1991b), 'Reciprocal Exchange as the Basis for Recognition of Law: Examples from American History', **10** *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, 53-82.

- Benson, Bruce L. (1992a), 'Market Failure Versus Government Failure in the Production of Adjudication', in Bowman, Gary W., Hakim, Simon and Seidenstat, Paul (eds), *Privatizing the United States Justice System: Police, Adjudication, and Corrections Services from the Private Sector*, Jefferson, NC, McFarland & Co., 203-225.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1992b), 'The Evolution of Values and Institutions in a Free Society: The Under-Pinnings of a Market Economy', **5** *International Journal on the Unity of Sciences*, 411-442. Reprinted in Radnitzky, Gerard and Bouillon, Hardy (eds) (1995), *Values and the Social Order Vol.I*, Aldershot, Avebury, 87-125.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1992c), 'The Development of Criminal Law and Its Enforcement: Public Interest or Political Transfers', **3** *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 79-108.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1992d), 'Customary Law as a Social Contract: International Commercial Law', **2** *Constitutional Political Economy*, 1-27.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1992e), 'Customary Indian Law: Two Case Studies', in Anderson, Terry L. (ed.), *Property Rights and Indian Economics*, Lanham, MD, Rowman and Littlefield Press.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1993), 'The Impetus for Recognizing Private Property and Adopting Ethical Behavior in a Market Economy: Natural Law, Government Law, or Evolving Self-Interest', **6** *Review of Austrian Economics*, 43-80.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1994), 'Legal Philosophy', in Boettke, Peter J. (ed.), *The Elgar Companion to Austrian Economics*, London, Edward Elgar, 270-275.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1994), 'Emerging from the Hobbesian Jungle: Might Takes and Makes Rights', **5** *Constitutional Political Economy*, 129-158. Translated into Spanish and republished (1995) *Las Instituciones y Los Derechos de Propiedad al Emerger de la Jungla Hobbesiana: La Fuerza Quita los Reprinted Derechos y los Crea Libertas*, 12, 35-75.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1995), 'Competition Among Legal Institutions: Implications for the Evolution of Law', in Gerken, Luden (ed.), *Competition Among Institutions*, London, Macmillan, 153-175.
- Benson, Bruce L. (1995), 'An Exploration of the Impact of Modern Arbitration Statutes on the Development of Arbitration in the United States', **11** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 479-501.
- Berlin, I. (1970), 'Two Concepts of Liberty', in Berlin, I. (ed.), *Four Essays on Liberty*, New York, NY, Oxford University Press.
- Berman, Harold J. and Dasser, Felix J. (1990), 'The "New" Law Merchant and the "Old": Sources, Content, and Legitimacy', in Carbonneau, Thomas E. (ed.), *Lex Mercatoria and Arbitration: A Discussion of the New Law Merchant*, New York, Dobbs Ferry, Transnational Juris Publications.
- Bernstein, Lisa (1996), 'Merchant Law In a Merchant Court: Rethinking the Code's Search for Immanent Business Norms', **144** *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1765 ff.
- Binmore, Ken and Samuelson, Larry (1994), 'An Economist's Perspective on the Evolution of Norms', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 45-63.
- Boyd, R. and Richerson, P.J. (1992), 'Punishment Allows the Evolution of Cooperation (or Anything Else) in Sizable Groups', **13** *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 171-195.
- Boyd, R. and Richerson, P.J. (1994), 'The Evolution of Norms: An Anthropological View', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 72-86.

- Bromley, Daniel W. (1989), 'Institutional Change and Economic Efficiency', **23** *Journal of Economic Issues*, 735-759.
- Brownlie, Ian (1990), *Principles of Public International Law*, 4th edn, Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- Buchanan, James M. (1975), *The Limits of Liberty: Between Anarchy and Leviathan*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Buchanan, James M. (1979), 'General Implications of Subjectivism in Economics', in X (ed.), *What Should Economists Do?*, Indianapolis, Liberty Press.
- Buchanan, James M. (1991), 'The Foundations for Normative Individualism', in X (ed.), *The Economics and the Ethics of Constitutional Order*, Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.
- Buchanan, James M. (1994), 'Choosing What to Choose', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 123-135.
- Buxbaum, Hertig and Hirsch, Hopt (1996) (eds), *European Economic and Business Law, Legal and Economic Analyses on Integration and Harmonization*, Berlin, Springer, 401 p.
- Cassone, Alberto and Marchese, Carla (1994), 'Indulgenze Religiose: un'Analisi Economica', **2-3** *Economia delle Scelte Pubbliche*, 191-209.
- Charney, J.I. (1986), 'The Persistent Objector Rule and the Development of Customary International Law', **56** *British Yearbook of International Law*, 1 ff.
- Christiansen, Gregory B. (1990), 'Law as a Discovery Procedure', **9** *Cato Journal*, 497-530.
- Clark, Robert C. (1981), 'The Interdisciplinary Study of "Legal Evolution"', **90** *Yale Law Journal*, 1238-1274.
- Clay, Karen (1997), 'Trade Without Law: Private-Order Institutions in Mexican California', **13** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 202-231.
- Coleman, Jules L. (1992), *Risks and Wrongs*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Contending with Hayek (1994), *On Liberalism, Spontaneous Order and The Post-Communist Societies in Transition*, Bern, Peter Lang.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1989), 'Kin Groups and the Common Law Process', in Larmour, Peter (ed.), *Customary Land Tenure: Registration and Decentralisation in Papua New Guinea*, Monograph XXIX, Papua New Guinea Institute of Applied Social and Economic Research, 33-50.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1991), 'Inventing Market Property: The Land Courts of Papua New Guinea', **25** *Law and Society Review*, 759-801.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1992), 'Against Legal Centrism' **81** *California Law Review*, 425 ff.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1993), 'Against Legal Centrism: Review of Robert C. Ellickson's Order Without Law', **81** *California Law Review*, 417 ff.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1994a), 'Structural Adjudication and the New Law Merchant: A Model of Decentralized Law', **14** *International Review of Law and Economics*, 215-231. Reprinted under the title, 'The Structural Approach to Decentralizing Law: A Theory of Games and Norms', in a book edited by Gerard Hertig.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1994b), 'Decentralized Law for a Complex Economy', **23** *Southwestern Law Review, Hayek Symposium*, 443-451.
- Cooter, Robert D. (1996), 'Decentralized Law for a Complex Economy: The Structural Approach to Adjudicating the New Law Merchant', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*.

- D'Amato, A. (1971), *The Concept of Custom in International Law*, Ithaca, NY, Cornell University Press.
- Eger, Thomas (1996), 'Die Evolution von Recht als Prozeß der Selbstorganisation (The Evolution of Law as a Process of Self-Organization)', in Priddat, B.P. and Wegner, G. (eds), *Zwischen Institution und Evolution. Neue Ansätze in der ökonomischen Theorie*, Marburg, Metropolis, 211-244.
- Eger, Thomas and Weise, Peter (1995), 'Die Evolution von Normen aus Unordnung, Ein synergetisches Modell (The Evolution of Norms out of Disorder, A Synergetic Model)', in X. (ed.), *Ökonomie und Gesellschaft. Jahrbuch 11, Markt, Norm und Moral*, Frankfurt/New York, 192-209.
- Eisenberg, Melvin Aron (1988), *The Nature of the Common Law*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
- Ellickson, R.C. (1991), *Order Without Law: How Neighbors Settle Disputes*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
- Ellickson, R.C. (1994), 'The Aim of Order Without Law', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 97-100.
- Elliott, E. Donald (1984), 'Holmes and Evolution: Legal Process as Artificial Intelligence', **13** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 113-146.
- Elliott, E. Donald, Ackerman, Bruce A. and Millian, John C. (1985), 'Toward a Theory of Statutory Evolution: The Federalization of Environmental Law', **1** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 313-340.
- Epstein, Richard A. (1980), 'The Static Conception of the Common Law', **9** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 253-275.
- Epstein, Richard A. (1992), 'The Path to the T. J. Hooper: The Theory and History of Custom in the Law of Tort', **21** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 1-38.
- Fortier, V. (1991), *La Fonction Normative des Notions Floues* (The Normative Functions of the Vagueness Notions), Aix-Marseille, Presses Universitaires d', 755 p.
- Frank, Robert H. (1987), 'If Homo Economicus Could Choose his Utility Function, Would He want one with a Conscience?', **77** *American Economic Review*, 593-604.
- Frank, Robert H. (1994), *Passions Within Reason: The Strategic Role of Emotions*, New York, W.W. Norton.
- Frech, H. Edward III (1972), 'The Public Choice Theory of Murray N. Rothbard: A Modern Anarchist', **14** *Public Choice*, 143-154.
- Fried, Charles, Summers, Robert S., Tullock, Gordon and Arrow, Kenneth J. (1982), 'Commentary on Hirshleifer Paper', **4** *Research in Law and Economics*, 61-208.
- Friedman, David D. (1979), 'Private Creation and Enforcement of Law: A Historical Case', **8** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 399-415.
- Fudenberg, Drew and Maskin, Eric (1986), 'The Folk Theorem in Repeated Games with Discounting or with Incomplete Information', **54** *Econometrica*, 533-554.
- Fuller, Lon L. (1969), *The Morality of Law. Revised Edition*, New Haven, CT, Yale University Press.
- Galanter, Marc (1987), 'Conceptualizing Legal Change and Its Effect: A Comment on George Priest's "Measuring Legal Change"', **3** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 235-241.
- Gauthier, David Peter (1986), *Morals by Agreement*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Goldberg, Victor P. (1974), 'Institutional Change and the Quasi-Invisible Hand', **17** *Journal of Law and Economics*, 461-492. Reprinted in Goldberg, Victor P. (ed.) (1989), *Readings in the Economics of Contract Law*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 169-173.

- Goodman, John C. (1978), 'An Economic Theory of the Evolution of the Common Law', *7 Journal of Legal Studies*, 393-406.
- Gordon, Wendy J. (1992), 'Of Harms and Benefits: Torts, Restitution, and Intellectual Property', *21 Journal of Legal Studies*, 449-482.
- Grady, Mark F. (1992), 'Toward a Positive Economic Theory of Antitrust', *30 Economic Inquiry*, 225 ff.
- Grady, Mark F. (1995), 'Legal Evolution and Precedent', *3 Annual Review of Law and Ethics*, 147 ff.
- Greif, Avner (1989), 'Reputation and Coalitions in Medieval Trade: Evidence on the Maghribi Traders', *49 Journal of Economic History*, 857 ff.
- Hardin, Garrett (1968), 'The Tragedy of the Commons', *162 Science*, 1243 ff.
- Harsanyi, John C. (1955), 'Cardinal Welfare Individualistic Ethics, and Interpersonal Comparisons of Utility', *63 Journal of Political Economy*, 315 ff.
- Hart, Herbert Lionel A. (1961), *The Concept of Law*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Hayek, Friedrich A. von (1955), *The Counterrevolution of Science*, New York, NY, Free Press.
- Hayek, Friedrich A. von (1960), *The Constitution of Liberty*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Hayek, Friedrich A. von (1967), 'The Results of Human Action but not of Human Design', in X (ed.), *Studies in Philosophy, Politics and Economics*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Hayek, Friedrich A. von (1989), *The Fatal Conceit*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Heiner, Ronald A. (1983), 'Origin of Predictable Behavior', *73 American Economic Review*, 561-595.
- Hesse, Günter (1980), 'Der Property-Rights-Ansatz. Eine ökonomische Theorie der Veränderung des Rechts? (The Property Rights Approach. An Economic Theory of Legal Change?)', *195 Jahrbuch für Nationalökonomie und Statistik*, 481 ff.
- Hesse, Günter (1983), 'Zur Erklärung der Änderung von Handlungsrechten mit Hilfe der ökonomischen Theorie (The Explanation of the Change of Property Rights with Aid from Economic Theory)', in Schüller, Alfred (ed.), *Property Rights und Ökonomische Theorie*, München, Vahlen, 79-109.
- Hirshleifer, Jack (1982), 'Evolutionary Models in Economics and Law: Cooperation versus Conflict Strategies', *4 Research in Law and Economics*, 1-60.
- Hirshleifer, Jack and Coll Martinez, Juan Carlos (1988), 'What Strategies can Support the Evolutionary Emergence of Cooperation?', *32 Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 367-398.
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell (1980), *The Common Law*, Boston, Little Brown.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert J. (1983), 'The Economics of Legal History', *67 Minnesota Law Review*, 645-697.
- Huang, Peter H. and Wu, Ho-Mou (1994), 'More Order without More Law: A Theory of Social Norms and Organizational Cultures', *10 Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 390-406.
- Jaffe, Austin J. (1988), 'Toward an Evolutionary Theory of Trade Associations: The Case of Real Estate Appraisers', *16 American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association Journal*, 230-256.
- Janis, M.W. (1993), *An Introduction to International Law*, New York, Little Brown and Co..

- Josserand, L. (1937), *Un Ordre Juridique Nouveau* (A New Juridical Order), Dalloz, 41 p.
- Kelsen, Hans (1939), 'Théorie du Droit International Coutumier', **1** *Revue Internationale de la Théorie du Droit (New Series)*, 263 ff.
- Kelsen, Hans (1945), *General Theory of Law and State*, New Jersey, Lawbook Exchange.
- Kennedy, Duncan (1981), 'Cost-Reduction Theory as Legitimation', **90** *Yale Law Journal*, 1275-1283.
- Kitch, Edmund W. (1987), 'Law and the Economic Order', in Lipson, Leon and Wheeler, Stanton (eds), *Law and the Social Sciences*, New York, Russel Sage Foundation, 109-149.
- Kitch, Edmund W. (1991), 'Business Organization Law: State or Federal?', in Buxbaum, Richard M., Hertig, Gerard, Hirsch, Alain and Hopt, Klaus J. (eds), *European Business Law*, Berlin, Walter de Gruyter, 35 ff.
- Kitch, Edmund W. (1996), 'Competition Between Securities Markets: Good or Bad?', in X (ed.), *The Future for the Securities Market: Legal and Regulatory Aspects*, Oxford, Clarendon, 233 ff.
- Klein, B. (1996), 'Why Hold-Ups Occur: The Self-Enforcing Range of Contractual Relationships', **34** *Economic Inquiry*, 444 ff.
- Klein, B. and Leffler, K. (1981), 'The Role of Market Forces in Assuring Contractual Performance', **89** *Journal of Political Economy*, 615-641.
- Kobayashi, Bruce H. and Ribstein, Larry E. (1996), 'Evolution and Spontaneous Uniformity: Evidence from the Evolution of the Limited Liability Company', **34** *Economic Inquiry*.
- Kontou, N. (1994), *The Termination and Revision of Treaties in the Light of New Customary International Law*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Kornhauser, Lewis A. (1992), 'Are There Cracks in the Foundations of Spontaneous Order? Book Review of Ellickson, Order without Law', **67** *New York University Law Review*, 647 ff.
- Kraus, Jody S. (1997), 'Segal Design and the Evolution of Commercial Norms', **16** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 377-411.
- Krauss, Michael I. (1994), 'La Réglementation Contre le Marché dans le Développement de Normes (Regulation against the Market in Norms Formation)', **5** *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 573-599.
- Kronman, Anthony T. (1985), 'Contract Law and the State of Nature', **1** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 5-32.
- Kubasek, N. (1985), 'The Artificiality of Economic Models as a Guide for Legal Evolution', **33** *Cleveland State Law Review*, 505-512.
- Kunz, Harald (1985), 'Zur Entstehung und Verhaltenswirkung sozialer Normen. mit einigen Argumenten für eine Ökonomisch rationale Kriminalpolitik (On the Evolution and the Incentive Effect of Social Norms, with Some Arguments for an Economic Rational Crime Policy)', **68** *Monatsschrift für Kriminologie und Strafrechtsreform*, 202-215.
- Larmore, Charles E. (1987), 'Liberalism and the Neutrality of the State', in Larmore, Charles E. (ed.), *Patterns of Moral Complexity*, Cambridge, New York.
- Lehmann, Michael (1982), 'Ökonomie, Sozialbiologie - Humanwissenschaften. Über die Notwendigkeit und Möglichkeit einer interdisziplinären Grundlagenforschung (Economics, Social Biology - Human Sciences. About the Necessity and Possibility of an Interdisciplinary Fundamental Reform)', *Der Betriebs-Berater*, 1997-2004.
- Lehmann, Michael (1986), 'Evolution in Biologie, Ökonomie und Jurisprudenz (Evolution in Biology, Economics and Jurisprudence)', **17** *Rechtstheorie*, 463-477.

- Lehmann, Michael (1993), 'Das Prinzip Wettbewerb. Ein gemeinsames Gesetz der Evolution für Biologie, Ökonomie und Wirtschaftsrecht (The Competition Principle. A Common Law of Evolution for Biology, Economics and Commercial Law)', in McGuire, Michael T. (ed.), *Der Faktor Mensch im neuen Europa*, Luchterhand, Neuwied et. al., 241-258.
- Leibenstein, Harvey (1982), 'The Prisoners' Dilemma in the Invisible Hand: An Analysis of Intrafirm Productivity', **72** *American Economic Review. Papers and Proceedings*, 92 ff.
- Lemieux, Pierre (1983), *Du Libéralisme à l'Anarcho-capitalisme* (From Liberalism to Anarcho-Capitalism), Paris, Presses Universitaires de France (PUF).
- Lemieux, Pierre (1988), *L'Anarcho-Capitalisme* (What is Anarcho-Capitalism?), Paris, Presses Universitaires de France (PUF).
- Leoni, B. (1991), *Freedom and the Law*, 3rd edn, Indianapolis, Liberty Fund.
- Levy, D. (1988), 'Utility-Enhancing Consumption Constraints', **4** *Economics and Philosophy*, 69-88.
- Levy, D. (1992), 'Adam Smith and the Texas A&M Rats', in Levy, D. (ed.), *The Economic Ideas of Ordinary People: From Preferences to Trade*, New York, NY, Routledge.
- Lewis, D. (1969), *Convention: A Philosophical Study*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
- Leyd, L., Leydesdoff, L.A., Besselaar, P.V. and Allen, P.M. (1994), *Evolutionary Economics and Chaos Theory: New Directions in Technology Studies*, New York, St. Martin's Press.
- Libecap, Gary D. (1978), 'Economic Variables and the Development of the Law: The Case of Western Mineral Rights', **38** *Journal of Economic History*, 338-362.
- Loasby, Brian J. (1976), *Choice, Complexity, and Ignorance: An Enquiry into Economic Theory and the Practice of Decision-Making*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Mackaay, Ejan (1988), 'L'Ordre Spontané Comme Fondement du Droit - un Survol des Modèles de l'Émergence des Règles dans une Société Civile (Spontaneous Order as Foundation of Law - A Survey of Models of the Emergence of Rules in Civil Society)', **22** *Revue Juridique Themis*, 347-383.
- Mackaay, Ejan (1989), 'L'Ordre Spontané comme Fondement du Droit - un Survol des Modèles de l'Émergence des Règles dans la Société Civile (Spontaneous Order as the Foundation of Law - A Survey of Models of the Emergence of Rules in Civil Society)', **3** *Revue Internationale de Droit Economique*, 247-287.
- Mackaay, Ejan (1995), 'Mededinging, Welvaart en Federalisme (Competition, Welfare and Federalism)', in Kanning, Walter (ed.), *Liber Amicorum voor Arnold Heertje*, Leiden, Stenfert Kroese, 93-100.
- Mateesco, N.M. (1947), *La Coutume dans les Cycles Juridiques Internationaux*, Paris.
- McAdams, H. (1997), 'The Origin, Development, and Regulation of Norms', **96** *Michigan Law Review*, 338 ff.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1993), 'Ritual and Regulation: A Legal Economic Interpretation of Selected Biblical Texts', **22** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 477-501.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1993), 'Contracts of Genesis', **22** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 15-45.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1994), 'The Legal-Economic Analysis of Comparative Civil Procedure, the Legal-Economic Approach to Biblical Interpretation', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 755 ff.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1995a), 'Verbal Feud in the Hebrew Bible: Judges 3:12-30 and 19-21', **55** *Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, 105 ff.

- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1995b), 'J as Constitutionalist: A Legal-Economic Interpretation of Exodus 17:8-16 and Related Texts', **70** *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 1829 ff. to be republished in Lindgren, James and Miller, Laurent Geoffrey (eds), *Ancient Law: the New Learning* (tentative title) (1996)
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1996a), *A Riposte Form in the Song of Deborah*, Manuscript.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1996b), 'Foreword: The Development of Ancient Near Eastern Law', **70** *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 1623 ff.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1996c), 'Ancient Law: the New Learning (tentative title) (1996) Constitutionalist: A Legal-Economic Interpretation of Exodus 17:8-16 and Related Texts', **70** *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 1829 ff. To be republished in Lindgren, James and Miller, Laurent Geoffrey (eds).
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1996d), 'The Song of Deborah: A Legal-Economic Analysis', **144** *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2293 ff.
- Miller, Geoffrey P. (1998), 'A Riposte Form in the Song of Deborah', in Frymer-Kensky, Tikva, Levinson, Bernard and Matthews, Victor (eds), *Gender and Law in the Hebrew Bible and the Ancient Near East*, Sheffield Academic Press.
- Miller, Geoffrey P., Lindgren, James and Laurent Mayali (1995), 'Why Ancient Law?', **70** *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 1465 ff.
- Mueller, Dennis C. (1989), 'A Just Social Contract', in Mueller, Dennis C. (ed.), *Public Choice II*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Noreau, Pierre (1993), *Droit Préventif - Le Droit au-Delà de la Loi* (Preventive Law - Law Beyond Legislation), Montréal, Éditions Thémis.
- O'Driscoll, Gerald P., Jr and Rizzo, Mario J. (1986), 'Subjectivism, Uncertainty, and Rules', in Kirzner, Israel M. (ed.), *Subjectivism, Intelligibility and Economic Understanding. Essays in Honor of Ludwig M. Lachmann on his Eightieth Birthday*, London, Macmillan, 252-267.
- Ott, Claus and Schäfer, Hans-Bernd (1991), *Emergence and Construction of Efficient Rules in the Legal System of German Civil Law*, Paper Presented at the European Law and Economics Association.
- Parisi, Francesco (1994), 'Autonomy and Private Ordering in Contract Law', **1** *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 213-227.
- Parisi, Francesco (1995), 'Toward a Theory of Spontaneous Law', **6** *Constitutional Political Economy*, 211-231.
- Parisi, Francesco (1996a), 'Normative Knowledge and Self-Enforcing Rules in International Law', **2** *International Legal Theory*, 27-30.
- Parisi, Francesco (1996b), 'Law as a Voluntary Enterprise', in Ratnapala, S. and Moens, G. (eds), *The Jurisprudence of Liberty*, London, Butterworths.
- Parisi, Francesco (1997), *The Genesis of Liability in Ancient Law*, George Mason University Law and Economics Working Paper Series, 97-07.
- Parisi, Francesco (1998a), 'Customary Law', in Newman, Peter (ed.), *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and the Law*, New York, Macmillan, 572-578.
- Parisi, Francesco (1998b), 'The Economics of Customary Law: Lessons From Evolutionary Socio-Biology', in Ott, Claus and von Wangenheim, Georg (eds), *Essays in Law and Economics IV*, Antwerpen, Maklu, 245-269.
- Parisi, Francesco (1998c), 'Opinio Iuris Revisited: A Law and Economics Perspective (Forthcoming)', **4** *International Legal Theory*.

- Parisi, Francesco (1999), 'The Cost of the Game: A Topology of Social Interactions (Forthcoming)', *European Journal of Law and Economics*.
- Parisi, Francesco and Ribstein, Larry E. (1998), *Choice of Law*, New York, Macmillan.
- Pollock, F. (1929), *First Book of Jurisprudence*, 6th edn, London, Macmillan.
- Popper, Karl R. (1995), *The Open Society and Its Enemies*, 5th edn, London, Routledge.
- Posner, Eric A. (1996a), 'Law, Economics and Inefficient Norms', **145** *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1697-1744.
- Posner, Eric A. (1996b), 'Norms, Formalities, and the Statute of Frauds: A Comment', **144** *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1971 ff.
- Posner, Richard A. (1980), 'A Theory of Primitive Society, with Special Reference to Law', **23** *Journal of Law and Economics*, 1-53.
- Posner, Richard A. (1981), *The Economics of Justice*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press, 415 p.
- Priest, George L. (1981), 'The New Scientism in Legal Scholarship: A Comment on Clark and Posner', **90** *Yale Law Journal*, 1284-1295.
- Priest, George L. (1987), 'Measuring Legal Change', **3** *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 193-225.
- Prigogine, Ilya and Stengers, Isabelle (1984), *Order Out of Chaos: Man's New Dialogue with Nature*, Boulder, CO, New Science Library.
- Rapoport, Anatol (1987), 'Prisoner's Dilemma', in X (ed.), *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics*, New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Rawls, John (1971), *A Theory of Justice*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
- Raz, J. (1986), *The Morality of Freedom*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Reese, David A. (1989), 'Does the Common Law Evolve?', **12** *Hamline Law Review*, 321-353.
- Ress, G. (1994), 'Ex Ante Safeguards Against Ex Post Opportunism in International Treaties: Theory and Practice of International Public Law', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 279-303.
- Ribstein, Larry E. and Kobayashi, Bruce H. (1995), 'Uniform Laws, Model Laws and Limited Liability Companies', **66** *University of Colorado Law Review*, 947-999.
- Ribstein, Larry E. and Kobayashi, Bruce H. (1996), 'An Economic Analysis of Uniform State Laws', **25** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 131-199.
- Ridley, Matt (1997), *Origins of Virtue: Human Instincts and the Evolution of Cooperation*, New York, Viking.
- Rizzo, Mario J. (1980), 'Symposium: Change in the Common Law: Legal and Economic Perspectives. A Symposium Sponsored by The Institute for Humane Studies and the Liberty Fund', **9** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 189-427.
- Roe, Mark J. (1996), 'Chaos and Evolution in Law and Economics', **109** *Harvard Law Review*, 641-668.
- Rubin, Paul H. (1982), 'Symposium: Evolutionary Models in Economics and Law', **4** *Research in Law and Economics*, 218 ff.
- Rubin, Paul H. (1983), *Business Firms and the Common Law. The Evolution of Efficient Rules*, New York, Praeger, 189 p.
- Rubin, Paul H. (1993), 'Private Mechanisms for the Creation of Efficient Institutions for Market Economies', in Somogyi, Laszlo (ed.), *The Political Economy of The Transition Process in Eastern Europe*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar.

- Rubin, Paul H. (1994), 'Growing A Legal System in the Post-Communist Economies', **27** *Cornell International Law Journal*, 1-47.
- Rubin, Paul H. (1996), *Promises, Promises: Contracts in Russia and Other Post-Communist Economies*, Shaftesbury Papers, Edward Elgar and the Locke Institute.
- Rubin, Paul H. (in press), *Growing a Legal System, with Special Reference to the Post-Communist Economies' Center for International Reform and the Informal Sector*, Working Paper, No. 63.
- Rubin, Paul H. and Tesche, Jean (in press), 'Enforcement of Agreements in Russia, in the Rule of Law and Economic Reform in Russia', in Sachs, Jeffrey (ed.), Boulder, Westview Press, 912 ff.
- Ruhl, J.B. and Ruhl, H.J., Jr (1997), 'The Arrow of the Law in Modern Administrative States: Using Complexity Theory to Reveal the Diminishing Returns and Increasing Risks the Burgeoning of Law Poses to Society', **30** *University of California at Davis Law Review*, 405 ff.
- Salmond, J.W. (1966), *Jurisprudence* (12th edn), London, Sweet & Maxwell.
- Santagata, Walter (1995), 'Istituzioni per il Mercato dell'Arte: Artisti e Mercanti tra Regole, Convenzioni e Allocations dei Diritti (Institutions for the Market of Works of Art: Artists and Merchants among Rules, Conventions and Allocation of Rights)', *Economia Pubblica*, 87-115.
- Savatier René (1959), *Les Méthamorphoses Economiques et Sociales du Droit Civil Aujourd'hui* (The Economic and Sociologic Metamorphoses of Civil Law Today).
- Schlicht, Ekkehart (1993), 'Koordinationskosten und "Social Capital" (Co-ordination Costs and Social Capital)', in Schlieper, Ulrich and Schmidtchen, Dieter (eds), *Makro, Geld & Institutionen*, Tübingen, 95-100.
- Schmidt Trenz, Hans Jorg and Schmidtchen, Dieter (1994), 'Theorie optimaler Rechtsräume. Die Regulierung sozialer Beziehungen durch die Kontrolle von Territorium (Theory of Optimum Legal Areas)', **13** *Jahrbuch für Neue Politische Ökonomie*, 7-29.
- Schmidtchen, Dieter (1995), 'Die Zwillingsideen der Evolution und der spontanen Bildung einer Ordnung (The Twin Ideas of Evolution and Spontaneous Order)', in Francke, H.-H. (ed.), *Ökonomischer Individualismus und Freiheitliche Verfassung*, Freiburg, 239-271.
- Schotter, Andrew (1981), *Economic Theory of Social Institutions*, Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
- Schwartz, Warren F. et al. (1984), 'The Duel: Can These Gentlemen be Acting Efficiently?', **8** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 321 ff.
- Scott Kenneth E. (1994), 'Bounded Rationality and Social Norms', **150** *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 315-319.
- Selten, Reinhard (1978), 'The Chain-Store Paradox', **9** *Theory and Decision*, 127-159.
- Sen, Amartya K. (1979), 'Rational Fools: A Critique of the Behavioural Foundations of Economic Theory', in Hahn, F. and Hollis, M. (eds), *Philosophy and Economic Theory*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Shubik, Martin (1987), *Game Theory in the Social Sciences: Concepts and Solutions*, 4th edn, Boston, MA, The MIT Press.
- Shughart, William F., II and Tollison, Robert D. (1985), 'Corporate Chartering: An Exploration in the Economics of Legal Change', **23** *Economic Inquiry*, 585-599.
- Simpson, Alfred William Brian (1979), 'The Horwitz Thesis and the History of Contracts', **46** *University of Chicago Law Review*, 533-601.

- Smith, James Charles (1977), 'Book Review of Morton J. Horwitz the Transformation of American Law (1780-1860)', **53** *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1253-1276.
- Stearns, M.L. (1994), 'The Misguided Renaissance of Social Choice', **103** *Yale Law Journal*, 1219 ff.
- Terrebonne, R. Peter (1981), 'A Strictly Evolutionary Model of Common Law', **10** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 397-407.
- Tietzel, Manfred (1986), 'Zur Entstehung des Privateigentums (On the Evolution of Private Property)', **37** *Ordo*, 105-127.
- Trakman, Leon E. (1983), *The Law Merchant: The Evolution of Commercial Law*, Littleton, CO, Fred B. Rothman and Company.
- Tucker, A.W. (1950), *A Two-Person Dilemma*, Unpublished manuscript. Reprinted in: Straffin, P. (1980) 'The Prisoner's Dilemma', *UMAP Journal*, 101 ff.
- Tullock, Gordon (1987), *Private Wants, Public Means - An Economic Analysis of the Desirable Scope of Government*, Lanham (MD), University Press of America.
- Tunkin, G.I. (1961), 'Remarks on the Juridical Nature of Customary Norms in International Law', **49** *California Law Review*, 419 ff.
- Turnbull, Shann (1977), *Impact of Mining Royalties on Aboriginal Communities in the Northern Territory*, First Report, Parliamentary Paper, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service, No. 135/1978, Oct..
- Turnbull, Shann (1978), *Economic Development of Aboriginal Communities in the Northern Territory Second Report, Self-sufficiency (with Land Rights)*, Parliamentary Paper, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service, No. 438/1978.
- Turnbull, Shann (1980), *Economic Development of Aboriginal Communities in the Northern Territory: A Study in Two Parts*, Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- Turnbull, Shann (1986), 'When Land Owns People', **43 (4)** *Et cetera: A Review of General Semantics*, 389-392.
- Udehn, Lars (1996), *The Limits of Public Choice: A Sociological Critique of the Economic Theory of Politics*, London, Routledge.
- Ullman-Margalit, Edna (1977), *The Emergence of Norms*, Oxford, Clarendon.
- Vanberg, Viktor J. (1993), 'Rational Choice, Rule-Following and Institutions: An Evolutionary Perspective', in Gustafson, B., Knudsen, C. and Maki, U. (eds), *Rationality, Institutions and Economic Methodology*, London, Routledge, 171-200.
- Vaughn, Karen I. (1982), *Can Democratic Society Reform Itself: The Limits of Constructive Change*, George Mason University Working Paper.
- Versteeg, J. Russell (1989), 'Law and the Security of Homeric Society (A Response to Richard A. Posner)', **10** *Journal of Legal History*, 265-284.
- Voigt, Stefan (1991), 'Die Evolutionsorientierte Theorie der Verfassung - Bemerkungen zum Ansatz von Hayeks (The Evolutionary Theory of Constitution. Remarks on Hayek's Approach)', **40** *Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftspolitik*, 93-107.
- Voigt, Stefan (1993), 'On the Internal Consistency of Hayek's Evolutionary oriented Constitutional Economics - Some General Remarks', **3** *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 461-476.
- Wagner, Richard E. (1992), 'Crafting Social Rules: Common Law vs. Statute Law, Once Again', **3** *Constitutional Political Economy*, 381 ff.
- Walden, R.M. (1977), 'The Subjective Element in the Formation of Customary International Law', **12** *Israel Law Review*, 344 ff.

- Waldron, Jeremy (1993), 'Theoretical Foundations of Liberalism', in Waldron, Jeremy (ed.), *Liberal Rights: Collected Papers, 1981-1991*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Wangenheim, Georg v. (1995), *Die Evolution von Recht. Ursachen und Wirkungen häufigkeitsabhängigen Verhaltens in der Rechtsfortbildung* (The Evolution of Law. Causes and Effects of Frequency Dependent Behaviour in Legal Evolution), Tübingen, Mohr/Siebeck.
- Warren, Elizabeth (1981), 'Trade Usage and Parties in the Trade: An Economic Rationale for an Inflexible Rule', **42** *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, 515 ff.
- Watrin, Christian (1996), 'Der Wettbewerb der Ordnungen als Entdeckungsverfahren für eine nachhaltige Entwicklung (Korreferat) (Sustainable Development and Competition Among Economic Systems as a Discovery Process)', in Gerken, Lüder (ed.), *Ordnungspolitische Grundfragen einer Politik der Nachhaltigkeit/Walter Eucken Institut*, Baden-Baden, 103-113.
- Weber, M. (1978), *Economy and Society*, Berkeley, University of California Press.
- Weise, Peter (1996), 'Verhaltenskoordination durch soziale Normen und Kräfte (Co-ordination of Behaviour by Social Norms and Forces)', in Priddat, B.P. and Wegner, G. (eds), *Zwischen Institution und Evolution. Neue Ansätze in der ökonomischen Theorie*, Marburg, Metropolis, 187-209.
- Weise, Peter and Eger, Thomas (1995), 'Die Evolution von Normen aus Unordnung, Ein synergetisches Modell (The Evolution of Norms out of Disorder, A Synergetic Model)', **11** *Ökonomie und Gesellschaft*, 192-209.
- Williams, Stephen F. (1980), 'The Static Conception of the Common Law: A Comment', **9** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 277-289.
- Williamson, O.E. (1983), 'Credible Commitments: Using Hostages to Support Exchange', **73** *American Economic Review*, 519 ff.
- Wilson, James Q. (1993), *The Moral Sense*, New York, NY, Free Press.
- Witt, Ulrich (1986), 'Evolution and Stability of Cooperation without Enforceable Contracts', **39** *Kyklos*, 245-266.
- Witt, Ulrich (1988), 'Eine Individualistische Theorie der Entwicklung Ökonomischer Institutionen (An Individualistic Theory of the Evolution of Economic Institutions)', **9** *Jahrbuch für Neue Politische Ökonomie*, 72-95.
- Wolfke, K. (1993), *Custom in Present International Law*, 2nd edn, Amsterdam, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Cases

- Fisheries Jurisdiction* (U.K. v. Ice), 1974 I.C.J. 3 (July 25).
- North Sea Continental Shelf* (F.R.G. v. Den.) (F.R.G. v. Neth.), 1969 I.C.J. 3 (Feb. 20).
- Asylum* (Colom. v. Peru), 1950 I.C.J. 266, 275, 277 (Nov. 20).
- S.S. Wimbledon*, 1923 P.C.I.J. (ser. A), No. 1, at 25.